

REMARKS

Rejected claims 1-7 have been canceled and the wording on page 5 of the specification has been amended to obviate any inconsistencies. The Examiner's suggestions that helped to clarify the wording are sincerely appreciated.

Applicant affirms the election of claims 1-16 with traverse. Applicant requests that the restriction requirement be withdrawn because claims 17-20 contain or require all of the structure and all of the relationships stated in the Examiner's reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter on page 10 of the Office Action. Therefore claims 17-20 obviously recite patentable subject matter. Applicant is a very small business, and the cost of filing a second patent application to include allowable claims that are already in this application would be an onerous and unnecessary burden.

Accordingly, applicant requests that this application be passed to issue with claims 8-20.

May 17, 2005


30 N. Nanagosa Trail

Suttons Bay, MI 49682

(231) 271-6868

email kaplanpat@mac.com

Respectfully submitted,


Charles M. Kaplan
Attorney for Applicant
Customer Number 24326



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I, Charles M. Kaplan, hereby certify that the foregoing Amendment has been deposited with the U.S. Postal Service, with adequate first class postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to the the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 this 17th day of May, 2005.

By Charles M. Kaplan
Charles M. Kaplan
Registration No. 19,416